

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,945	04/13/2004	Joseph F. Bringley	87833F-P	4460	
	7590 01/28/2008		EXAM	INER	
A WALLEST TO	Pamela R. Crocker			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/822,945	BRINGLEY ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Monique R. Jackson	1794		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-12,14-20,22-25 and 27-58</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-12,14-20,22-25 and 27-58</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. e rejected.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 · 10) 🔲 ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/07</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

10/822,945 Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 11/13/07 has been entered. Claims 5-6, 13, 21, and 26 have been canceled. New claims 54-58 have been added. Claims 1-4, 7-12, 14-20, 22-25, and 27-58 are pending in the application. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 19 has been amended to recite that the barrier layer thickness if from "about 0.1 microns to about 10.0 microns" however the incorporation of the term "about" extends the range beyond the values originally disclosed and supported by the specification at the time of filing.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 recites the limitation "on the support layer" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number:

10/822,945 Art Unit: 1794

Terminal Disclaimer

5. The terminal disclaimers filed on 11/13/07 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the cited copending applications are currently been reviewed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 31-36, 45, 46, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being anticipated by Smith et al (US 2003/0108499 A1). Smith et al teach a deodorant composition that may be applied to a garment worn next to the skin, wherein the deodorant composition comprises a suspension of particulate transition metal chelators that inhibit the growth of microbes wherein the metal chelators have affinity for iron (III) with a binding constant of greater than 10¹⁰, preferably greater than 10²⁶, wherein Smith et al list preferred chelators that would inherently meet the claimed limitations (Abstract; Paragraphs 0011-0026.) With respect to Claims 46 and 48, the Examiner notes that the terms "a bandage" and "a diaper" provide no additional structural or material limitations to the claims to differentiate the article from the teachings of Smith et al.

10/822,945 Art Unit: 1794

Double Patenting

8. Claims 1-4, 7-12, 14-20, 22-25, and 27-58 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting for the reasons recited in the prior office action pending the review and approval of the terminal disclaimers filed 11/13/07.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/07 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The double patenting rejections are pending the review of the terminal disclaimers filed 11/13/07 but will be withdrawn once the terminal disclaimers are approved.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 10:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/822,945

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

January 22, 2008